arXiv:0907.2300v1 [cs.SC] 14 Jul 2009

An Efficient Algorithm for Factoring Polynomials over Algebraic Extension Field

Dingkang Wang, Yao Sun
dwang@mmrc.iss.ac.cn, ysun@amss.ac.cn
MMKL, AMSS, Chinese Academy of Sciences

Abstract

An efficient algorithm is presented for factoring polynomials over an algebraic extension field. The extension field is defined by a polynomial ring modulo a maximal ideal. If the ideal is given by its Gröbner basis, no extra Gröbner basis computation is needed for factoring a polynomial over the extension field. We will only use linear algebra to get a polynomial over the base field by a generic linear map, and this polynomial will be factorized over the base field. From these factors, the factorization of the polynomial over the extension field can be obtained. The algorithm has been implemented and the experiments show that our algorithm is very efficient.

1 Introduction

Factorization of polynomials over algebraic extension fields has been widely investigated and there are polynomial-time algorithms for factoring multivariate polynomial over algebraic number field [1, 2, 6, 13, 16, 21]. However, all the existing algorithms for factoring polynomials over successive algebraic extension field are not so efficient.

Factorization over algebraic extension fields is needed for irreducible decomposition of algebraic variety by using characteristic set method [25, 26]. In [22, 23], Wang and Lin proposed a very good algorithm for factoring multivariate polynomials over algebraic fields obtained from successive extensions of the filed of rational numbers. This problem has been further investigated by Li and Yuan in [17, 27]. Li's algorithm decomposes ascending chain into irreducible ones directly and Yuan's algorithm follows Trager's method [21]. Their methods involve the computation of characteristic set, Gröbner basis or resultant of multivariate polynomial system and these computations are quite expensive. All the above algorithms are probabilistic, and if the characteristic of the field is 0, the algorithms will terminate in a finite steps with probability 1 [8, 23]. Besides, A. Steel gave his factorization method in another way when the characteristic of the field is positive and he concentrated on how to conquer the inseparability [20].

In this paper, we will present a new algorithm to solve the following factorization problem:

Let k be a perfect computable field and $k[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring in indeterminate $x_1, \cdots, x_n$ with coefficients in k. Let $I \subset k[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$ be a maximal ideal such that $\mathrm{K} = k[x_1, \cdots, x_n]/I$ is indeed an algebraic extension field of k. For a polynomial $f \in \mathrm{K}[y]$, we will derive a new algorithm for factoring this polynomial over the field K.

If I is represented by its Gröbner basis for any monomial order, we will deduce the above problem to univariate polynomial factorization over the base field k by using linear map. No extra Gröbner basis computation is needed in our computation.

In [19], Monico proposed a new approach for computing the primary decomposition of an zero dimensional ideal. This idea also plays an important role in our algorithm. We should notice that Monico's algorithm is not complete, i.e. the components in the output of Monico's algorithm can not be assured to be primary. However, our algorithm is complete when applying Monico's idea to the above factorization problem.

Some preliminaries will be given in section 2. In section 3, we will show how the problem of polynomial factorization over algebraic extension field, which is proposed in [22, 23, 25, 26], can be deduced to a univariate factorization problem. In section 4, we will present two algorithms for factoring polynomials over algebraic extension field. Examples and comparisons will appear in section 5 and section 6 respectively. Finally, we will finish this paper with the conclusions.

2 Preliminaries

Let k be a perfect field which admit efficient operations and factorization of univariate polynomials. Let R be a multivariate polynomial ring over the field k and I be an ideal of R. Set $A = R/I$, which is a quotient ring.

Since we can add elements of A and multiply elements by constants, A has the structure of a vector space over the field k. So if I is a zero dimensional ideal, then A is a finite dimensional vector space.

Given a polynomial $r \in R$, we can use multiplication to define a linear map m_r from A to itself. We define $m_r : A \longrightarrow A$ by the rule: if $[g] \in A$, then

$$m_r([g]) = [r][g] = [rg] \in A.$$

Then m_r has the following basic properties.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $r \in R$. Then we have*

(1) The map m_r is a linear map from A to A.

(2) We have $m_r = m_g$ exactly when $r - g \in I$. In particular, m_r is the zero map exactly when $r \in I$.

(3) Let q be a univariate polynomial over k and $r \in R$. Then $m_{q(r)} = q(m_r)$.

(4) If p_r is the characteristic polynomial of m_r, then $p_r(r) \in I$.

Proof. For the proof of part (1), (2) and (3), please see [4]. To prove part (4), since p_r is the characteristic polynomial of the linear map m_r, $p_r(m_r) = 0$ by Cayley-Hamilton Theorem. From part (3), it follows that $m_{p_r(r)} = p_r(m_r) = 0$. Hence the polynomial $p_r(r)$ must be in the ideal I by part (2). $\square$

Proposition 2.2. *Let R be a polynomial ring over k, and I a maximal ideal of R. For any given $r \in R$, the minimal polynomial of m_r is irreducible over k.*

Proof. Assume that R is the polynomial ring $k[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$. Let $(I, z-r)$ be the ideal generated by I and $z - r$ over the polynomial ring $k[x_1, \cdots, x_n, z]$, where z is a new indeterminate. Since I is a maximal ideal in $k[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$, it follows that $(I, z - r)$ is also a maximal ideal in $k[x_1, \cdots, x_n, z]$, and so is the ideal $(I, z - r) \cap k[z]$.

To study the ideal $(I, z - r) \cap k[z]$, let g be the generator of the principal prime ideal $(I, z-r) \cap k[z]$, and we notice that g is an irreducible polynomial over k. Now substituting the indeterminate z by r in g, then we have $g(r) \in I$. By proposition 2.1, this implies $g(m_r) = m_{g(r)} = 0$, which means g is the minimal polynomial of the linear map m_r. $\square$

To illustrate the relationship between minimal polynomial and characteristic polynomial, we need the following proposition, which is a basic conclusion from standard linear algebra.

Proposition 2.3. *The minimal polynomial of m_r and its characteristic polynomial have the same irreducible factors.*

Thus, we have an instant corollary of Proposition 2.2.

Corollary 2.4. *Let R be a polynomial ring over k, and I is a maximal ideal of R. Given $r \in R$, the characteristic polynomial of m_r is a power of a polynomial which is irreducible over k.*

Let R be a polynomial ring over the field k, and let Q be a zero dimensional radical ideal of R. Assume that Q has a minimal prime decomposition:

$$Q = Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_t.$$

where each Q_i is a maximal ideal of R.

Let $r \in R$, and the linear map m_r, which is already defined above, is from $A = R/Q$ to A. Similarly, denote $A_i = R/Q_i$ for $i = 1, \cdots, t$, and consider the linear maps: $m_{r,i} : A_i \to A_i$, for $[g] \in A_i$, $m_{r,i}([g]) = [r][g] = [rg] \in A_i$ The following proposition shows the relationship between m_r and the $m_{r,i}$'s.

Proposition 2.5. *With the notations as above, let $p_r, p_{r,i}$ be the characteristic polynomial of $m_r, m_{r,i}$ respectively. Then we have*

$$p_r = p_{r,1} \cdots p_{r,t}.$$

Proof. The following is a slight modification of the proof given by C. Monica. For the original proof of this proposition, please see [19].

Consider the map:

$$\delta : A \to A_1 \times \cdots \times A_t$$
$$[g] \mapsto ([g], \cdots, [g]).$$

We see that δ is an isomorphism map by Chinese Remainder Theorem.

Notice that m_r is a linear map from A to itself. Set $m'_r = \delta m_r \delta^{-1}$, and m'_r is a linear map from $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_t$ to itself. Since δ is an invertible linear map from A to $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_t$, the characteristic polynomials of m'_r and m_r are identical.

It is easy to check

$$m'_r([g_1], \cdots, [g_t]) = ([rg_1], \cdots, [rg_t]).$$

In particular, for any $(0, \cdots, 0, [g_i], 0, \cdots, 0) \in A_1 \times \cdots \times A_t$, one has

$$m'_r(0, \cdots, 0, [g_i], 0, \cdots, 0) = (0, \cdots, 0, [rg_i], 0, \cdots, 0)$$

whence $(0, \cdots, A_i, \cdots, 0)$ is an m'_r-invariant subspace of $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_t$.

Let $B_i = \{b_{i,1}, \cdots, b_{i,l_i}\}$ be a basis of the vector space A_i, and let $M_{r,i}$ be the matrix of $m_{r,i}$ relative to the basis B_i. Set

$$B = \{(b_{1,1}, 0, \cdots, 0), \cdots, (b_{1,l_1}, 0, \cdots, 0), \cdots, (0, \cdots, 0, b_{t,1}), \cdots, (0, \cdots, 0, b_{t,l_t})\}.$$

It is obvious that B is a basis of $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_t$. Therefore, the matrix of m'_r, denoted by M'_r, relative to the basis B has the form:

$$M'_r = \begin{pmatrix} M_{r,1} & & & \\ & M_{r,2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & M_{r,t} \end{pmatrix}$$

Let $p'_r \in k[\lambda]$ be the characteristic polynomial of M'_r or m'_r, and by the hypothesis, $p_{r,i}$ is the characteristic polynomial of $m_{r,i}$, which is also the characteristic polynomial of $M_{r,i}$. We can obtain

$$p'_r = p_{r,1} \cdots p_{r,t}.$$

Since m_r and m'_r are similar linear maps, then it holds that $p_r = p'_r$. Combined with our previous discussion, we have

$$p_r = p_{r,1} \cdots p_{r,t}$$

which is just what we need.

□

3 Factorization of Polynomials over Algebraic Extension Field

In this section, we will discuss the main ideas about the factorization method. First of all, we need to define some new notations. Throughout this section, let $R_1 = k[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$ and $R_2 = k[x_1, \cdots, x_n, y]$. I_1 is a maximal ideal in R_1 and I_2 is the ideal generated by I_1 over the polynomial ring R_2. Since I_1 is a maximal ideal, the quotient ring R_1/I_1 is in fact a field and for convenience, we denote $\mathrm{K} = R_1/I_1$, which is a finite extension field of k. Remark that the quotient R_2/I_2 is not a field, for I_2 is not a zero dimensional ideal in R_2 any more.

The ring $\mathrm{K}[y]$, which is a polynomial ring over K with the indeterminate y, is a principal ideal domain and each polynomial f in $\mathrm{K}[y]$ has a unique factorization over K. What we will do next is to give an efficient algorithm to calculate the factorization of polynomials in $\mathrm{K}[y]$.

In order to use the property of I_1, we should connect the ring R_2 and $\mathrm{K}[y]$. Consider the canonical map:

$$\sigma : R_1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{K} = R_1/I_1$$

$$c \longmapsto [c]$$

which sends a polynomial $c \in R_1$ to $[c] \in \mathrm{K}$. And the map σ can be easily extended onto R_2 by applying σ coefficientwise. Notice that, for any $g \in R_2$, we have $\sigma(g) = 0$ if an only if $g \in I_2$ by the definition of σ.

Conversely, for an element $c \in \mathrm{K}$, we say a polynomial $d \in R_1$ is a lift of c, if it satisfies $\sigma(d) = c$. Similarly, we say $h \in R_2$ is a lift of $g \in \mathrm{K}[y]$ if $\sigma(h) = g$ holds. It is obvious that an element $c \in \mathrm{K}$, as well as $g \in \mathrm{K}[y]$, may have infinite distinct lifts, for the map σ is not injective. Remark that, for $g \in \mathrm{K}[y]$, the lifts of g may have different degrees in the indeterminate y.

Since $K = k(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n) = k[\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n]$, any element in K can be written as an polynomial form in the letters $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_n$. For $g \in \mathrm{K}[y]$, g can be written as

$$g = \sum_{i=0}^{d} c_i(\alpha) y^i$$

where $c_i(\alpha) \in \mathrm{k}[\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n]$. Let $h = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{d} c_i(x) y^i$ where $c_i(x) \in k[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$, it is easy to check that $\sigma(h) = g$, and we call h a natural lift of g.

Let F be a polynomial set in R_2, the ideal generated by F over R_2 is denoted by (F).

The following proposition build a relation between the factorization of a squarefree polynomial and the minimal decomposition of a radical ideal.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose that f is a squarefree polynomial in* $\mathrm{K}[y]$*, and $f = f_1 \cdots f_t$ is an irreducible factorization of f over* K*. Let $Q = (I_1, h), Q_i = (I_1, h_i)$*

where h, h_i are the lifts of f, f_i respectively. Then Q is a radical ideal and it has a minimal prime decomposition

$$Q = Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_t$$

Proof. First, we begin by showing that the definition of ideal $Q = (I_1, h)$ is well defined. That is, suppose h' is another lift of f in R_2, and then it suffice to show the two ideals $Q = (I_1, h)$ and $Q' = (I_1, h')$ are identical. By the definition of lift, we have $\sigma(h) = f = \sigma(h')$. Since σ is a homomorphism map, it holds that $\sigma(h - h') = 0$. It follows that $h - h' \in I_2$, and hence $Q = Q'$. And Q_i's are also well defined for same reasons.

Next, we will prove the ideal Q is a radical ideal of R_2. For any positive integer m, if $g^m \in Q$, then g^m has an expression $g^m = sh + t$, where $s \in R_2$ and $t \in I_2$. Since σ is a homomorphism map, we see that

$$\sigma(g^m) = \sigma(g)^m = \sigma(s)\sigma(h) + \sigma(t) = \sigma(s)f$$

which means $f|\sigma(g)^m$. As we have assumed f is a squarefree polynomial, $f|\sigma(g)^m$ implies $f|\sigma(g)$. Let $\sigma(g) = bf$ and a be a lift of b, since h is a lift of f, it follows that $\sigma(g) = \sigma(a)\sigma(h)$. This means $\sigma(g - ah) = 0$, hence $g - ah \in I_2$ and $g \in Q$. Then Q is a radical ideal.

Similarly, using the property that f_i is irreducible over K, it is easy to show Q_i is a prime ideal and the proof is omitted.

Finally, we will finish the proof by showing the Q_i's constitute a minimal prime decomposition of Q.

On one hand, for any $g \in Q$, we have $f|\sigma(g)$. It follows that $f_i|\sigma(g)$ for $i = 1, \cdots, t$. Then g is in every Q_i, and hence g is in the intersection of $Q_i's$.

On the other hand, for any $g \in Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_t$, it is easy to see that $f_i|\sigma(g)$ for all $i = 1, 2, \cdots, t$. Since f_i's are irreducible factors of f and coprime with each other, it follows that $f_1 f_2 \cdots f_t|\sigma(g)$ and hence $f|\sigma(g)$, which means there exists $a \in R_2$ such that $g - ah \in I_2$. Therefore, $g \in Q$.

We have proved that

$$Q = Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_t.$$

Since f_i and f_j are different factors of f for $i \neq j$, then $h_i \in Q_i$ is not in Q_j and $h_j \in Q_j$ is not in Q_i. This proves that the decomposition is minimal.

□

In the rest of this paper, we always assume the following: Let I_1 be a maximal ideal of R_1 and $\mathrm{K} = R_1/I_1$, K is an extension field of k. Let f be a squarefree polynomial in $\mathrm{K}[y]$ and h be a lift of f. Let $Q = (I_1, h) \subset R_2$ and $A = R_2/Q$. For $r \in R_2$, the linear map m_r is defined from A to A as before. Let $f = f_1 \cdots f_t$ be a factorization of f over K and h_i be the lift of f_i. Similarly, $m_{r,i}$ is defined from A_i to A_i where $A_i = A/Q_i$ and $Q_i = (I_1, h_i)$ for $i = 1, \cdots, t$. Let $p_r, p_{r,i} \in k[\lambda]$ be the characteristic polynomials of $m_r, m_{r,i}$ respectively.

Let $g_1, g_2 \in \mathrm{K}[y]$, the greatest common divisor of g_1 and g_2 will be denoted by $\gcd(g_1, g_2)$.

The following theorem gives a method for factoring polynomials over algebraic extension fields.

Theorem 3.2. *If the characteristic polynomial p_r of m_r is squarefree and $p_r = q_{r,1} \cdots q_{r,s}$ is an irreducible factorization of p_r over k, then*

$$f = c \prod_{i=1}^{s} \gcd(f, \sigma(q_{r,i}(r)))$$

where c is constant in K *and each* $\gcd(f, \sigma(q_{r,i}(r)))$ *is irreducible over K.*

Proof. For conenience, we assume that f and $q_{r,i}$ are monic. In this case, $c = 1$. Since f has a factorization $f = f_1 \cdots f_t$. From proposition 3.1, $Q = (I_1, h)$ is a radical ideal and $Q_i = (I_1, h_i)$'s are prime ideals. Furthermore, Q has a prime decomposition $Q = Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_t$. By proposition 2.5, we have $p_r = p_{r,1} \cdots p_{r,t}$. Now we claim that

$$f_i = \gcd(f, \sigma(p_{r,i}(r)))$$

for $i = 1, \cdots, t$.

Since $p_{r,i}$ is the characteristic polynomial of $m_{r,i}$ and $p_{r,i} \in k[\lambda]$, substituting λ by the expression of $r \in R_2$, it follows that $p_{r,i}(r) \in Q_i = (I_1, h_i)$ by proposition 2.1. That is, there exist $a \in R_2$ and $b \in I_2$, such that $p_{r,i}(r) = ah_i + b$. Using the map σ to both sides of the equality, we get $\sigma(p_{r,i}(r)) = \sigma(a)\sigma(h_i) + \sigma(b) = \sigma(a)f_i$. So we have

$$f_i | \sigma(p_{r,i}(r))$$

for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, t$.

Next, by the proposition 3.1, Q_i is a prime ideal and it follows that $p_{r,i}$ is a power of an irreducible polynomial in $k[\lambda]$ by corollary 2.4. But here, we have assumed p_r is a squarefree polynomial in the hypothesis, so all the $p_{r,i}$'s are irreducible and co-prime with each other, which means for $j \neq i$, there exist $a, b \in k[\lambda]$, such that $ap_{r,j} + bp_{r,i} = 1$. Substituting λ by the expression of r, the equality still holds $a(r)p_{r,j}(r) + b(r)p_{r,i}(r) = 1$. Applying the linear map σ to both sides of the equation, and we have $\sigma(a(r))\sigma(p_{r,j}(r)) + \sigma(b(r))\sigma(p_{r,i}) = 1$, which means $\sigma(p_{r,j}(r))$ and $\sigma(p_{r,i}(r))$ are co-prime in K$[y]$. Therefore, if there exists some j for $j \neq i$ such that $f_j | \sigma(p_{r,i}(r))$. Then $\sigma(p_{r,i}(r))$ and $\sigma(p_{r,j}(r))$ have a common divisor f_j since $f_j | \sigma(p_{r,j}(r))$. This contradiction shows that

$$f_j \not| \sigma(p_{r,i}(r))$$

for $j \neq i$.

Combined with the above two paragraphs, we finish the proof of our claim and we have

$$f = f_1 \cdots f_t = \prod_{i=1}^{t} \gcd(f, \sigma(p_{r,i}(r)))$$

Since each $p_{r,i}$ is irreducible in $k[\lambda]$, the expression $p_r = p_{r,1} \cdots p_{r,t}$ is actually a factorization of p_r over k and is identical with $p_r = q_1 \cdots q_s$ by a permutation, which complete the proof.

□

We have an immediate corollary of the theorem.

Corollary 3.3. *Let f be a squarefree polynomial in* $\mathrm{K}[y]$*. Let r be a polynomial in R_2 and m_r be the linear map defined as before. If the characteristic polynomial of m_r is irreducible over k, then f is irreducible over* K*.*

In addition, from the proof of the theorem, we obtain another corollary.

Corollary 3.4. *If the characteristic polynomial $p_{r,i}$ and $p_{r,j}$ are co-prime for $i \neq j$, then we have*

$$f_j \not| \sigma(p_{r,i}(r)) and f_i \not| \sigma(p_{r,j}(r))$$

4 Algorithms for Factorization

In this section, we will give the algorithms for factorization over algebraic extension field based on the theorem 3.2. Before doing that, we should discuss some details in the algorithm.

For a given polynomial $f \in \mathrm{K}[y]$, it is usually not squarefree. So in order to apply our algorithm, we can factor the squarefree part of f first, and then deduce a factorization of f, which is not very difficult no matter the field K is characteristic 0 or not. In our algorithm, the gcd computation over algebraic extension field is needed, and many algorithms have been proposed for this purpose[10, 14, 18].

In some cases, the characteristic polynomial of m_r may be not easy to compute, so we usually compute the minimal polynomial of m_r instead, for we have the following observation.

Proposition 4.1. *If the characteristic polynomial of m_r is squarefree, then the minimal polynomial and the characteristic polynomial of m_r are the same.*

Proof. It is an easy inference of proposition 2.3. □

Conversely, if the minimal polynomial has lower degree than its characteristic polynomial, then the characteristic polynomial is not squarefree.

Therefore, if the characteristic polynomial of m_r, say p_r, is squarefree, we have three ways to obtain it:

1 Construct a matrix of m_r w.r.t. some basis in A and compute the characteristic polynomial of this matrix.

2 Construct a matrix of m_r w.r.t. some basis in A and compute the minimal polynomial of this matrix. If the degree of the minimal polynomial is equal to the dimension of the vector space A, then it is actually the characteristic polynomial of m_r.

3 If the Gröbner basis of I_1 is known, we can use the *fglm* algorithm to find the minimal polynomial of m_r[7]. If the degree of the minimal polynomial

is equal to the dimension of the vector space A, then it is actually the characteristic polynomial of m_r. In fact, the *fglm* algorithm here is to find the smallest number d, such that $[1], [r], [r]^2, \cdots, [r]^d$ is linear dependent in A.

Our experiment data show the first approach is most efficient and easiest to be implemented.

Now, we give the standard algorithm as follow:

Algorithm 1: Standard Algorithm

```
Input  : $f$, a squarefree monic polynomial in $\mathrm{K}[y]$; $G$, the Gröbner basis
         of $I_1 \subset k[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$
Output: the factorization of $f$ in $\mathrm{K}[y]$
begin
    repeat
        $r \longleftarrow$ a random polynomial in $k[x_1, \cdots, x_n, y]$
        $p_r \longleftarrow$ the characteristic polynomial of the linear map $m_r$
    until $p_r$ is squarefree ;
    factor $p_r$ and obtain $p_r = p_{r,1} \cdots p_{r,t}$
    for $i \longleftarrow 1$ to $t$ do
        $q_i \longleftarrow$ substitute $\lambda$ in the polynomial $p_{r,i}$ by the expression of $r$
        $f_i \longleftarrow \gcd(f, \sigma(q_i))$
    end
    return $f_1 f_2 \cdots f_t$
end
```

Remark: If f is monic, the Gröbner basis of Q can be constructed directly from the Gröbner basis of I_1 and f, which will speed up our algorithm. That is, if h is a nature lift of f, then the set $\{h, G\}$ is a Gröbner basis for $Q = (h, I_1)$ with the elimination monomial order $y > x$, where G is a Gröbner basis for I_1.

Up to now, we still have a question. That is, can we guarantee the characteristic polynomial p_r of m_r is squarefree for a random chosen $r \in R_2$? The following proposition gives an answer.

Proposition 4.2. *If the characteristic of k is 0, then the probability that the characteristic polynomial p_r of m_r is squarefree for a random $r \in R_2$ is 1.*

Proof. The technique of the proof draws lessons from [19].

Since $Q = (I_1, h)$ is a zero dimensional radical ideal, the quotient ring $A = R_2/Q$ has finite dimension as a vector space. Define $d = dim(A)$, and by the basic algebraic geometry, we know the variety $V(Q)$ has d points counted with multiplicity, say $z_1, \cdots, z_d$, in an extension field of k. Since Q is a radical ideal, then all the z_i's are distinct each other.

We claim that $p_r \in k[\lambda]$ is squarefree if and only if $r(z_i) \neq r(z_j)$ for all $i \neq j$. Notice that, by definition r is a polynomial in R_2, and here, r is considered as a polynomial function.

Since $p_r(r) = 0$, it follows that $p_r(r(z_i)) = 0, i = 1, 2, \cdots, d$, which implies $r(z_i)$ is a root of p_r. Hence, p_r is squarefree if and only if the the roots of p_r is different from each other, which is equivalent to $r(z_i) \neq r(z_j)$ for all $i \neq j$ and we complete the claim.

To finish our proof, consider the set:

$$C = \{r | p_r \ is\ not\ squarefree\}$$

and we wish to show it identifies with an algebraic set. By the claim, the set has an equivalent expression

$$C = \{r | \exists z_i, z_j \in V(Q) \ with\ z_i \neq z_j \ such\ that\ r(z_i) = r(z_j)\}$$

Since $V(Q)$ only has finite points, it suffices to show for some fixed z_i, z_j with $z_i \neq z_j$, the set

$$C_{ij} = \{r | r(z_i) = r(z_j)\}$$

is an algebraic set.

Let $e_1, \cdots, e_d$ be the standard monomial basis of A, and for convenience, we can always assume that $e_1 = [1]$. Otherwise, $[1]$ is a linear combination the standard monomial basis of A. Thus, the polynomial function r has an expression $r = a_1 e_1 + \cdots + a_d e_d$, where $a_i \in k, i = 1 \cdots d$ and the set C_{ij} has an equivalent form

$$\tilde{C}_{ij} = \{(a_1, \cdots, a_d) \in k^d | a_1 e_1(z_i) + \cdots + a_d e_d(z_i) = a_1 e_1(z_j) + \cdots + a_d e_d(z_j)\}$$

or

$$\tilde{C}_{ij} = \{(a_1, \cdots, a_d) \in k^d | a_1(e_1(z_i) - e_1(z_j)) + \cdots + a_d(e_d(z_i) - e_d(z_j)) = 0\}$$

Notice, $e_1(z_i) = 1 \neq 0$ and hence $(e_1(z_i), \cdots, e_d(z_i))$ is not a zero vector. Furthermore, the vector $(e_1(z_i), \cdots, e_d(z_i))$ is actually an eigenvector for m_r with $r(z_i)$ as the eigenvalue [4]. Since different eigenvalues correspond to different eigenvectors and $r(z_i) \neq r(z_j)$, it follows that

$$(e_1(z_i), \cdots, e_d(z_i)) \neq (e_1(z_j), \cdots, e_d(z_j))$$

and hence $(e_1(z_i) - e_1(z_j), \cdots, e_d(z_i) - e_d(z_j))$ is a nonzero vector.

Therefore, the set $\tilde{C}_{ij}$ is indeed an algebraic set, which is proper in k^d and so does the set C_{ij}. Since C is the union of all the C_{ij} for $i \neq j$, C is an algebraic set and is proper in k^d as well. That is, the dimension of C is strictly smaller than d. Since the characteristic of k is 0, it follows that the probability that a random $r \in R_2$ belongs to the set C is 0, which completes our proof.

□

Corollary 4.3. *If the characteristic of k is 0, then we can find an $r \in R_2$ such that p_r is squarefree in finite steps.*

Proof. In fact, from the proof of proposition 4.2, the set C is equivalent to the solution set of a polynomial equation $F(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = 0$. Suppose $d_i = deg_{x_i} F(x_1, \cdots, x_n), i = 1, \cdots, n$, and then we can select r in this way. First we choose r from the set $D = \{r | r = c_1 x_1 + \cdots + c_n x_n, c_i = 1, \cdots, d_i, i = 1, \cdots, n\}$. Notice D is a finite set, so if there is an $r \in D$ such that p_r is squarefree, then the proof is over; otherwise, $r = (d_1 + 1)x_1 + \cdots + (d_n + 1)x_n$ is the r we needed, since $F(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ is not a 0 polynomial. If we use the conception of linear independent, the proof will be simpler, for the set C_{ij} is a proper algebraic set in k^d, which means the linear dimension of C_{ij} is strictly smaller than d. So if all the r's we selected are linear independent, then the procedure will finish in at most d steps. $\square$

Corollary 4.4. *If the characteristic of* k *is* 0*, then the probability that the characteristic polynomial* p_r *of* m_r *is squarefree for a random linear* $r \in R_2$ *is also* 1*.*

Proof. The proof is almost the same as proposition 4.2. The mere difference is that here r have an expression $r = by + a_1 x_1 + \cdots + a_n x_n$. Then the set $C_{ij} = \{r | r(z_i) = r(z_j)\}$ is isomorphic to an algebraic set of k^{n+1}, since $z_i \neq z_j$, which will complete the proof. $\square$

Therefore, in order to simplify the computation, we usually prefer r in a linear form. And there are also some tricks for choosing a linear r such that the algorithm will be more efficient. For example, the variable y needs to appear in the expression of r and we usually set the coefficient of y to be 1; also, if the variable x_i happens to be a leading monomial of some polynomial in the Gröbner basis of I_1, then this variable x_i is not needed in r, for it can be reduced further.

Although for almost all r, r is 'good', i.e. the characteristic polynomial p_r of m_r is squarefree, r may be 'bad' sometimes, i.e. p_r may not be squarefree. In the standard algorithm, if r is 'bad', we will choose another r and try again. However, it seems a waste to discard the 'bad' r, for we have done some computation on it. In fact, a 'bad' r will not deduce a complete factorization but a partial one.

Proposition 4.5. *If the characteristic polynomial* p_r *has a factorization*

$$p_r = p_{r,1}^{m_1} \cdots p_{r,s}^{m_s}$$

Then $GCD(f, \sigma(p_{r,i}(r)))$ *is a factor of* f*, and furthermore, if* $m_i = 1$*, then* $GCD(f, \sigma(p_{r,i}(r)))$ *is an irreducible factor of* f *over* K*.*

Proof. It is a simple application of the corollary 3.4 and we omit the proof here. $\square$

Based on the proposition above, we obtain an improved algorithm.

Algorithm 2: Improved Algorithm

```
Input  : $f$, a squarefree monic polynomial in $\mathrm{K}[y]$; $GB$, the Gröbner
         basis of $I_1 \subset k[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$
Output: the factorization of $f$ in $\mathrm{K}[y]$
begin
    $r \longleftarrow$ a random polynomial in $k[x_1, \cdots, x_n, y]$
    $p_r \longleftarrow$ the characteristic polynomial of the linear map $m_r$
    factor $p_r$ and obtain $p_r = p_{r,1}^{m_1} \cdots p_{r,t}^{m_t}$
    for $i \longleftarrow 1$ to $t$ do
        $q_i \longleftarrow$ substitute $\lambda$ in the polynomial $p_{r,i}$ by the expression of $r$
        $f_i \longleftarrow \gcd(f, \sigma(q_i))$
        if $m_i \neq 1$ then
        $g_i \longleftarrow ImprovedAlgorithm(f_i, GB)$, where $g_i$ is a product of
        irreducible factors of $f_i$
        else $g_i \longleftarrow f_i$
    end
    return $g_1 g_2 \cdots g_t$
end
```

Now we will say something about the complexity of the algorithm: Given a Gröbner basis GB for I_1 and the set $\{h, GB\}$ is a Gröbner basis for $Q = (h, I_1)$ as we discussed before, so computing a basis for A/Q has complexity $O(n)$. Computing the matrix of m_r requires $O(n^3)$ field operations in the worst case. Computing the characteristic polynomial p_r requires $O(n^3)$ field operations. Factoring the univariate polynomial p_r has been studied by many researchers, and more details can be found in [3, 15]. As a result, the problem of factoring polynomials over algebraic extension field can be transformed to the problem of factoring univariate polynomials over the base field by our algorithm in a polynomial time.

5 Examples

In this section, we will illustrate our algorithm through two complete examples.

Example 5.1. *Consider the maximal ideal* $I_1 = (x_1^2 + 1, x_2^2 + x_1) \subset \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2]$, *where* $\mathbb{Q}$ *is the rational field and the extension field is defined as* $\mathrm{K} = \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2]/I_1$*. Notice that* $\{x_1^2+1, x_2^2+x_1\}$ *is already a Gröbner basis of* I_1 *for the lexicographic order* $x_2 > x_1$*.*

Now we are going to factor the polynomial

$$f = y^3 + (\alpha_1\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)y^2 + (\alpha_1\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_2 - 2)y + \alpha_1 - \alpha_1\alpha_2 \in \mathrm{K}[y]$$

where $\alpha_i = [x_i] \in \mathrm{K}$ *.*

Since f is squarefree and monic in $\mathrm{K}[y]$,

$$h = y^3 + (x_1x_2 - 2x_1 - x_2)y^2 + (x_1x_2 + 2x_2 - 2)y + x_1 - x_1x_2 \in R_2$$

is a natural lift of f, and besides, $\{x_1^2+1, x_2^2+x_1, h\}$ is a Gröbner basis of the ideal $Q = (I_1, h)$, which is generated over the polynomial ring $Q[x_1, x_2, y]$, for the monomial order $y > x_2 > x_1$.

By our algorithm, first, we should choose a random polynomial $r \in R_2 = \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, y]$ and here we select $r = x_1 + 2x_2 + y$. Let $A = \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, y]/Q$ and it is obviously a vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$ with a monomial basis

$$B = [1, x_2, x_1, x_1x_2, y, x_2y, x_1y, x_1x_2y, y^2, x_2y^2, x_1y^2, x_1x_2y^2]^T$$

Next, we compute the matrix M of the linear map m_r with respect to B.

$$m_r(B) = MB$$

and M is a 12×12 matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 2 & -2 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 3 & 3 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 2 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -3 & 3 \\
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & -2 & -3 & 1 & 0 & 3 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -2 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 3 & -3 & -1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

For this matrix, its characteristic polynomial and minimal polynomial are identical, and $p_r = \lambda^{12} + 26\lambda^{10} - 116\lambda^9 + 371\lambda^8 - 2064\lambda^7 + 6802\lambda^6 - 17916\lambda^5 + 49922\lambda^4 - 109088\lambda^3 + 155984\lambda^2 - 134592\lambda + 55872$. Fortunately, p_r is squarefree and then factoring p_r, which is not difficult as p_r is a univariate polynomial, we get three irreducible factors

$$p_{r,1} = \lambda^4 + 10\lambda^2 - 12\lambda + 18$$

$$p_{r,2} = \lambda^4 + 8\lambda^2 - 72\lambda + 97$$

$$p_{r,3} = \lambda^4 + 8\lambda^2 - 32\lambda + 32$$

Using these factors, the next step of algorithm is to substitute λ by the expression of r. For instance, $p_{r,1}$ becomes

$$p_{r,1}(r) = (x_1 + 2x_2 + y)^4 + 10(x_1 + 2x_2 + y)^2 - 12(x_1 + 2x_2 + y) + 18$$

Notice $p_{r,1}(r)$ is in $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, y]$ and using the map σ, we get

$$\sigma(p_{r,1}(r)) = (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + y)^4 + 10(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + y)^2 - 12(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + y) + 18 \in \mathrm{K}[y]$$

In the following, we compute the gcd of f and $\sigma(p_{r,1}(r))$, which can be easily done by a modified Euclidean algorithm. Finally, we have

$$\gcd(f, \sigma(p_{r,1}(r))) = y + \alpha_1\alpha_2$$

which is an irreducible factor of $f \in \mathrm{K}[y]$. Similarly, we can get the other factors from $p_{r,2}$ and $p_{r,3}$ and they are

$$\gcd(f, \sigma(p_{r,2}(r))) = y - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2$$

and

$$\gcd(f, \sigma(p_{r,3}(r))) = y - \alpha_1$$

As a result, we obtain a complete factorization of $f \in \mathrm{K}[y]$

$$f = (y + \alpha_1\alpha_2)(y - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(y - \alpha_1)$$

Now, we see that $r = x_1 + 2x_2 + y$ is a 'good' r, for it makes the characteristic polynomial p_r squarefree, and hence, we can obtain a complete factorization of f. However, if we are not so lucky, and select a 'bad' r, so what will happen then?

For example, if we choose $r = -\frac{3}{2}x_1 - \frac{1}{2}x_2 + y$, then we repeat the above steps.

The monomial basis does not change, but the matrix varies and the characteristic polynomial of M becomes $p_r = \lambda^{12} + \frac{7}{2}\lambda^{10} - \frac{7}{2}\lambda^9 + \frac{113}{8}\lambda^8 - \frac{3}{2}\lambda^7 + \frac{33}{4}\lambda^6 + \frac{41}{4}\lambda^5 + \frac{273}{64}\lambda^4 + \frac{67}{16}\lambda^3 + \frac{467}{128}\lambda^2 + \frac{169}{128}\lambda + \frac{89}{512}$, which is not squarefree, and has a factorization

$$p_r = (\lambda^4 + \frac{5}{2}\lambda^2 - \frac{9}{2}\lambda + \frac{89}{8})(\lambda^4 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda + \frac{1}{8})^2$$

Now, we can not work as before any more and have two choices. The first one is to choose another r and try again, which is what the standard algorithm does; the other one is to get a partial factorization and do another factorization further, which is what the improved algorithm does. Here, we prefer the improved algorithm.

The factor $p_{r,1} = \lambda^4 + \frac{5}{2}\lambda^2 - \frac{9}{2}\lambda + \frac{89}{8}$ has multiple one, so we can get an irreducible factor of f by the proposition 4.5

$$\gcd(f, \sigma(p_{r,1}(r))) = y + \alpha_1\alpha_2$$

While the other factor $p_{r,2} = (\lambda^4 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda + \frac{1}{8})^2$ can only lead to a reducible factor of f

$$\gcd(f, \sigma(p_{r,2}(r))) = y^2 - (2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)y + \alpha_1\alpha_2 - 1$$

which needs to be factored further.

Let $f' = y^2 - (2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)y + \alpha_1\alpha_2 - 1$ and we choose $r = -2x_1 - 2x_2 + y$ and repeat the procedures. $h = y^2 - (2x_1 + x_2)y + x_1x_2 - 1 \in R_2$ is a natural lift of f' and the monomial basis of (I_1, h) is

$$B = [1, x_2, x_1, x_1x_2, y, yx_2, yx_1, yx_1x_2]^T$$

Notice the length of B is 8 and smaller than the previous one, thus we can get an 8×8 matrix, the characteristic polynomial of which is $p_r = \lambda^8 + 4\lambda^6 + 20\lambda^5 + 23\lambda^4 + 40\lambda^3 + 102\lambda^2 + 100\lambda + 34$. It has a factorization:

$$p_r = p_{r,1}p_{r,2} = (\lambda^4 + 2\lambda^2 + 16\lambda + 17)(\lambda^4 + 2\lambda^2 + 4\lambda + 2)$$

The characteristic polynomial is square-free now and after computing the gcd, we obtain two irreducible factors of f':

$$\gcd(f', \sigma(p_{r,1}(r))) = y - \alpha_1$$

$$\gcd(f', \sigma(p_{r,2}(r))) = y - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2$$

Combined with the factor we obtained earlier, we have got a complete factorization:

$$f = (y + \alpha_1\alpha_2)(y - \alpha_1)(y - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2)$$

Remark that compared with choosing another r, the improved algorithm is faster, and the further factorization costs less resource as the polynomial f' has lower degree than f and the corresponding matrix is smaller too.

After a slight modification, our algorithm, particularly the improved one, can also perform very well when the field k is a finite field.

Example 5.2. *Let* $k = \mathbb{F}_{31}$*,* $I_1 = (x_1^2 + 5, x_2^3 + x_1) \subset \mathbb{F}_{31}[x_1, x_2]$*, and* $\mathrm{K} = \mathbb{F}_{31}[x_1, x_2]/I_1$*. The polynomial we want to factor is*

$$f = y^3 + (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_1\alpha_2)y^2 + (5\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_1\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_2^2\alpha_1)y + 10\alpha_2^2 \in \mathrm{K}[y]$$

where $\alpha_i = [x_i] \in \mathrm{K}$ *for* $i = 1, 2$*.*

First, let h be the natural lift of f, and Let $A = R_2/Q$, $Q = (I_1, h)$. Second, choose a polynomial $r \in R_2$ randomly. Here we select $r = -x_1 + x_2 + y$, while the corresponding characteristic polynomial of m_r with respect to the standard monomial basis of A is $p_r = \lambda^{18} + 28\lambda^{16} + 20\lambda^{15} + 9\lambda^{14} + 22\lambda^{13} + 19\lambda^{12} + 24\lambda^{11} + 5\lambda^{10} + 11\lambda^9 + 22\lambda^8 + 6\lambda^7 + 11\lambda^6 + 15\lambda^5 + 23\lambda^3 + 24\lambda^2 + 20\lambda + 28$, which has a factorization over $\mathbb{F}_{31}$.

$$p_r = (\lambda^6 + 15\lambda^4 + 14\lambda^2 - 6)(\lambda^6 + 15\lambda^4 - 11\lambda^3 - 4\lambda^2 + 10\lambda + 14)(\lambda^6 - 2\lambda^4 - 11\lambda^2 + 10)$$

Since p_r is squarefree, we can immediately obtain a complete factorization of f by computing the gcd:

$$f = (y + 2\alpha_2)(y - \alpha_1\alpha_2)(y + \alpha_1)$$

However, we should notice that if we consider the factorization in a finite field k, from the proof of proposition 4.2, we will have a lower probability to meet a ‘good’ r, especially when the cardinality of k is small. In this case, we recommend to use the improved algorithm, which will deal with the problem more efficiently.

6 Timings

We have implemented our algorithm both for the case $k = \mathbb{Q}$ and for finite fields in Magma. Since Wang's algorithm can only work for fields of characteristic 0. In order to compare our algorithm with Wang's algorithm, the following examples are randomly generated and the base field $k = \mathbb{Q}$.

1. $f_1 = (y + \alpha_1)(y - 2\alpha_2)(y^2 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$
   $I_1 = (x_1 + x_2^2, 1 + x_1^2 - x_2x_1) \subset \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2]$

2. $f_2 = (y + \alpha_1\alpha_3 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_1)(y - 2\alpha_2^2 + \alpha_3^2 + 1)(y^2 + \alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$
   $I_2 = (x_1^2 - x_2x_1 + x_3x_1 - x_1 - x_2, x_1^2 - x_3x_1 + x_1 - x_2^2 - x_3x_2 - x_2 + x_3^2, -1 + x_1^2 + x_3x_1 + x_1 - x_2^2 - x_2 + x_3^2 - x_3) \subset \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$

3. $f_3 = (y + \alpha_1)(y - 2\alpha_4)(y + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$
   $I_3 = (x_1^2 + x_3x_1 - x_1x_4 + x_2^2 - x_2 + x_3x_4 - x_4^2 - x_4, x_1^2 + x_2x_1 - x_1x_4 + x_2^2 + x_3x_2 + x_2 + x_4^2 - x_4, 1 + x_2x_1 - x_3x_1 + x_1x_4 + x_1 + x_2^2 - x_3x_2 + x_2x_4 - x_2 + x_3^2 + x_3x_4 - x_4^2, x_1^2 + x_2x_1 + x_3x_1 + x_1x_4 - x_2^2 + x_3x_2 - x_2 + x_3^2 - x_3x_4 - x_4^2 + x_4) \subset \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$

4. $f_4 = (y - 2\alpha_4^2 + \alpha_3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)(y + \alpha_2^2 + \alpha_3\alpha_4 + \alpha_1\alpha_3 + 2)$
   $I_4 = (-1 - x_1^2 + x_3x_1 + x_2^2 - x_3x_2 + x_3^2 - x_3x_4 + x_4^2 + x_4, 1 + x_2x_1 + x_3x_1 + x_1 - x_3x_2 - x_2x_4 + x_2 - x_3^2 - x_3x_4 - x_3, 1 + x_3x_1 + x_1x_4 + x_1 + x_2^2 + x_2x_4 - x_2 - x_3 - x_4^2, x_1^2 + x_2x_1 + x_3x_1 + x_1x_4 - x_1 - x_2x_4 - x_3^2 + x_3x_4 - x_3 + x_4^2 + x_4) \subset \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$

5. $f_5 = (y^2 + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_4\alpha_2)y + \alpha_3\alpha_4 + \alpha_2)(y^2 + (\alpha_1\alpha_3 - \alpha_4)y + \alpha_3 + \alpha_2\alpha_4\alpha_1)$
   $I_5 = (-1 + 2x_1^2 - x_2x_1 + 2x_3x_1 - x_1x_4 + x_2^2 + x_2x_3 + 2x_2x_4 - 2x_3^2 + 2x_3x_4 - x_3 - x_4, x_1^2 - 2x_1x_4 - x_1 + 2x_2^2 + x_2x_3 + 2x_2x_4 - x_2 - x_3x_4 + x_3 - 2x_4^2 + 2x_4, 2 - 2x_1^2 + 2x_2x_1 + x_3x_1 + 2x_1 + 2x_2x_3 + x_2x_4 - x_3^2 - 2x_3 - 2x_4^2, 2x_1^2 - x_2x_1 - x_3x_1 - x_1x_4 - x_2^2 + x_2x_3 - x_2x_4 - 2x_2 + 2x_3^2 - 2x_3x_4 + x_3 + x_4^2 + 2x_4) \subset \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$

6. $f_6 = (y + \alpha_1 + \alpha_3\alpha_4 + \alpha_2\alpha_5)(y + \alpha_2\alpha_5 + 2 - \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)$
   $I_6 = (2 - x_1^2 + x_1x_2 - 2x_3x_1 + 2x_4x_1 - 2x_1 + 2x_2^2 + x_2x_3 + 2x_2x_5 + 2x_3^2 + x_3x_4 - x_3 - 2x_4x_5 - 2x_4 + 2x_5, 1 - x_1^2 - x_1x_2 - x_3x_1 - x_1x_5 + x_1 - x_2^2 - 2x_2x_3 + 2x_2x_4 - 2x_2x_5 + x_2 + x_3^2 + 2x_3x_4 - x_3 - 2x_4^2 - x_4x_5 + x_4 + 2x_5^2, 1 - 2x_1^2 - 2x_1x_2 - 2x_3x_1 - x_4x_1 - x_1x_5 + x_2^2 + 2x_2x_3 - 2x_2x_4 + x_2x_5 - 2x_2 - x_3^2 - 2x_3x_4 + 2x_3x_5 - 2x_3 - 2x_4^2 + x_4x_5 + 2x_5^2 + x_5, x_1^2 - x_1x_2 - x_3x_1 - x_4x_1 + 2x_1 + 2x_2^2 + x_2x_3 + 2x_2 + 2x_3x_5 + x_4^2 - x_4 + 2x_5^2 - x_5, x_2 - 2x_4 + x_5 - 1) \subset \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5]$

7. $f_7 = (y + \alpha_1 + \alpha_3\alpha_4)(y - \alpha_2\alpha_5)(y - \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)$
   $I_7 = (1 - 2x_3x_1 + x_4x_1 + 2x_1x_5 + x_1 - 2x_2^2 - x_2x_3 + 2x_2x_4 + 2x_2x_5 - 2x_2 - x_3^2 - 2x_3x_4 + 2x_3x_5 + x_3 + x_4^2 + 2x_4x_5 + x_4 - x_5^2 - 2x_5, 1 - 2x_1^2 + 2x_1x_2 - 2x_3x_1 - 2x_4x_1 + 2x_1x_5 - 2x_1 + x_2^2 + 2x_2x_3 + x_2x_4 + 2x_2x_5 - 2x_2 + 2x_3^2 - x_3x_4 + 2x_3x_5 + 2x_3 + 2x_4^2 - x_4x_5 - 2x_5^2 + x_5, -x_1^2 - x_1x_2 - x_3x_1 + x_4x_1 - 2x_1x_5 + 2x_1 - x_2^2 + 2x_2x_3 - x_2x_4 + 2x_2x_5 + 2x_2 - 2x_3^2 + 2x_3x_4 - x_3x_5 - x_3 - x_4^2 + 2x_4x_5 + 2x_4 - 2x_5^2 + 2x_5, -1 - 2x_1^2 + 2x_1x_2 - x_3x_1 - x_4x_1 + x_1x_5 + x_1 + 2x_2x_3 + x_2x_4 + x_2x_5 + 2x_2 + x_3x_4 + x_3 - 2x_4^2 - x_4 + x_5^2 - x_5, x_2 - x_3 + x_4 - x_5 + 1) \subset \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5]$

8. $f_8 = (y^2 + (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\alpha_4)y + \alpha_2\alpha_5 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)(y + \alpha_3\alpha_5 + \alpha_2\alpha_4\alpha_3)$
   $I_8 = (2 + x_1^2 + 2x_2x_1 + x_3x_1 + 2x_1x_4 - 2x_1x_5 + 2x_1 - x_2^2 + 2x_3x_2 + 2x_4x_2 + 2x_2x_5 - x_2 - 2x_3x_4 + x_3x_5 + x_3 - x_4^2 - x_4x_5 - x_4 + x_5^2 - 2x_5, 1 - x_1^2 + 2x_2x_1 - x_3x_1 + 2x_1x_4 + 2x_1x_5 - 2x_1 + 2x_2^2 - x_3x_2 - x_4x_2 - x_2x_5 + 2x_2 + 2x_3^2 - 2x_3x_4 + 2x_3x_5 - 2x_4^2 + 2x_4x_5 - x_4 - x_5^2 + x_5, 1 + 2x_2x_1 + x_3x_1 + x_1x_4 + 2x_1x_5 + x_2^2 + x_4x_2 - 2x_2x_5 - x_3^2 + x_3x_4 - x_3x_5 - x_3 + x_4x_5 - x_4 + x_5^2 - x_5, x_1^2 + 2x_2x_1 + 2x_3x_1 + 2x_1x_4 + x_1x_5 - 2x_1 + 2x_2^2 + x_3x_2 + 2x_4x_2 - 2x_2 - 2x_3^2 + 2x_3x_4 - 2x_3 - 2x_4^2 - x_4x_5 + x_5^2, x_1 - 2x_2 - 2x_3 + 2x_4 + x_5 - 2) \subset \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5]$

9. $f_9 = (y^2 + (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\alpha_4)y + \alpha_2\alpha_5 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)(y^2 + y(1 + \alpha_3 - \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\alpha_5) + \alpha_4 + \alpha_3 - \alpha_1\alpha_5)(y + \alpha_3\alpha_5 + \alpha_2\alpha_4\alpha_3)$
   $I_9 = I_8 \subset \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5]$

10. $f_{10} = (y + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_6 + \alpha_3\alpha_4 + \alpha_2\alpha_5\alpha_6)(y + \alpha_2\alpha_6 - \alpha_1\alpha_5 + 2 - \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)$
    $I_{10} = (-1 + 2x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + 2x_1x_3 - x_1x_4 + x_1x_5 - 2x_1x_6 + 2x_1 - x_2^2 + x_3x_2 + 2x_4x_2 - 2x_2 + x_3^2 - 2x_3x_5 + 2x_3x_6 - 2x_4^2 - x_4x_5 + 2x_4x_6 + x_4 - 2x_5x_6 - 2x_5 + x_6^2 + 2x_6, -2x_1^2 - x_1x_5 - 2x_1 - 2x_2^2 + x_3x_2 - x_4x_2 - x_2x_6 - x_3^2 + 2x_4x_3 + 2x_3x_5 - x_3 + 2x_4^2 - 2x_4 - x_5^2 - x_5x_6 + x_5 + 2x_6^2, -1 + x_4 - x_5 + x_6 + x_5x_6 - 2x_4x_6 + 2x_4x_5 - 2x_3x_6 + x_3x_5 + x_4x_3 - x_2x_6 + 2x_2x_5 + x_3x_2 - x_1x_6 - x_1x_5 - 2x_1x_4 - x_1x_3 - x_1x_2 + 2x_2 - 2x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 2x_3^2 - x_4^2 + x_5^2 + x_6^2, 2 - x_1^2 - 2x_1x_3 - 2x_1x_4 - 2x_1x_5 + x_1x_6 + x_1 - x_3x_2 + 2x_4x_2 - 2x_2x_6 - x_2 + 2x_3^2 - x_4x_3 + 2x_3x_5 + x_3x_6 + x_3 + x_4^2 + x_4x_5 - x_4 - 2x_5^2 + 2x_5x_6 + x_5 - x_6, x_1x_2 - 2x_1x_4 - x_1x_5 - x_1x_6 - x_1 - x_3x_2 - 2x_4x_2 - 2x_2x_5 - 2x_2x_6 - x_3^2 - x_4x_3 - 2x_3x_5 - 2x_3x_6 - x_3 - 2x_4x_5 - 2x_4x_6 - 2x_4 - x_5^2 + 2x_5x_6 - x_5 + 2x_6^2 + x_6, -2x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 2x_4 + 2x_5 + x_6 - 2) \subset \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6]$

We have tested the above examples both for *cfactor*, which is an implementation of Wang's algorithm and for *efactor* which is an implementation of our algorithm. The timings in the following table are obtained from a computer (Windows XP, CPU Core2 Duo 2.66GHz, Memory 2GB).

We should notice that *cfactor* is implemented in Maple 7, since *cfactor* only can work correctly for Maple 7, and *efactor* is implemented in Magma. For the input of our algorithm, the maximal ideal can be expressed by its Gröbner basis for any monomial order, generally for a total degree order. And for the input of Wang's algorithm, the maximal ideal is expressed by the irreducible ascending set, which is a lexicographic Gröbner basis. We should notice that a Gröbner basis with lexicographic order usually has larger coefficients than that with a total degree order.

In the third column of table 1, h_i is a lift of f_i. From this table, we can see that our algorithm is much more efficient than Wang's, especially for complicated examples.

By analyzing Wang's algorithm and our algorithm, we think there are the following three main reasons which makes our algorithm more efficient than Wang's. First, in Wang's algorithm, the variable of y in f, which is to be factored, will be replace by a linear combination of a new variable y' and the x_i's. This will lead expansions of the coefficients and the terms of f while the degree of f in y is big. Second, the modulo map, which maps a polynomial into its

	R_2	$dim_k R_2/(I_i, h_i)$	$cfactor$	$efactor$
f_1	$\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, y]$	16	0.032	0.000
f_2	$\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3, y]$	28	0.110	0.031
f_3	$\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, y]$	48	12.171	0.734
f_4	$\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, y]$	32	9.109	0.328
f_5	$\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, y]$	64	245.531	4.313
f_6	$\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, y]$	32	44.359	1.297
f_7	$\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, y]$	48	91.500	9.719
f_8	$\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, y]$	48	377.327	11.469
f_9	$\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, y]$	80	2011.375	63.578
f_{10}	$\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, y]$	64	$> 2h$	96.344

Table 1: Compared with Wang's Algorithm.

remainder, is a ring homomorphism, which can speed up our algorithm. But in Wang's algorithm, the psudo-remainder map does not hold this property. Last, and the most important, for a polynomial r, the complexity of computing the characteristic polynomial of m_r is polynomial time. However, the complexity of computing the characteristic set in Wang's algorithm is exponential time. Besides, any new technique for computing the characteristic polynomial will speed up our algorithm.

7 Conclusions and Future Works

In this paper, we present a new method for factoring polynomials over algebraic extension field and this algorithm can perform pretty good for characteristic 0 systems as well as for finite field systems. The standard algorithm seems to be a probabilistic algorithm and relies on the selection of the linear map, while the improved one lighten the dependence and is suitable for almost all systems, thus the improved algorithm is more stable and efficient, and furthermore, the total complexity can also be controlled in a reasonable degree.

However, when the characteristic is 0, the expansion of coefficients is unavoidable, and the situation will be better in finite field. Therefore, a natural idea emerges, and that is we can factor the polynomials in finite field first, and then lift the factorization to characteristic 0, which will be done in a future paper. We also notice that Gao gives an efficient algorithm for computing the primary decomposition in finite field [9], which may help to improve our algorithm in the finite field and then benefits for our future work.

8 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Professor D. Lazard and Professor V.P. Gerdt for their valuable suggestions during their visits in KLMM.

References

[1] Abbott, J.A., Bradford, R.J., Davenport, J.H., 1985. A Remark on Factoriztation, ACM SIGSAM Bulletin 19, 31-33 & 37.

[2] Abbott, J.A., Davenport, J.H., 1998. Polynomial Factorization: an Exploration of Lenstra's Algorithm, Proc. EUROCAL'98, 391-402.

[3] Cohen, H., 1993. A Course in Computational Algebraic Number Theory. New York, Springer.

[4] Cox, D., Little, J., O'Shea, D., 1998. Using Algebraic Geometry. New York, Springer.

[5] Encarnacion, M. J.,1995. Computing gcds of polynomials over algebraic number fields. J. Symbolic Computation, 20:299-313

[6] Encarnacion, M.J., 1997. Factoring Polynomials over Algebraic Number Fields via Norms. Proc. of ISSAC 97. 265-270.

[7] Faugère, J., Gianni, P., Lazard, D., Mora, T., 1993. Efficient Computation of Zero- Dimensional Gröbner Bases by Change of Ordering. J. Symb. Comp. 16(4), p. 329-344.

[8] Gao, X.S., Chou, S.C., 1999. On the Theory of Resolvents and Its Applications. Syst Sci & Math (Suppl), 12:17-30.

[9] Gao, S.H., Wan, D.Q., Wang, M.S., 2009. Primary decomposition of zero-dimensional ideals over finite fields. Mathematics of Computation, 78, No. 265, 509-521.

[10] Hoeij, M.V., Monagan, M., 2004. Algorithms for polynomial GCD computation over algebraic function fields. Proc. of ISSAC 2004. 297-304

[11] Kaltofen, E., 1982. Factorization of Polynomials, Computer Algebra: Symbolic and Algebraic Computation (B. Buchberger, G.E. Collins and R. Loos, eds.), Spring-Verlag, Wien-New York, 95-113.

[12] Kaltofen, E., 1990. Polynomial Factorization 1982-1986, Computers in Mathematcis (D. V. Chudnovsky and R. D. Jenks, eds.), Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York-Basel, 285-209.

[13] Landau, S., 1985. Factoring Polynomial over Algebraic Number fields. SIAM J. Comput. 184-195.

[14] Langemyr L., McCallum S., 1989. The computation of polynomial greatest common divisors over an algebraic number field. J. Symbolic Computation, 8(5):429-448.

[15] Lenstra, H.W., Lenstra, A.K., Lovasz, L., 1982. Factoring polynomials with rational coefficients. Math. Ann., 261,515-534.

[16] Lenstra, A.K., 1987. Facotring Multivariate Polynomials over Algebraic Number Fields. SIAM J comp, 16:591-598.

[17] Li, B.H., 2005. An Algorithm to Decompose a Polynomial Ascending Set into Irreducible Ones. Acta Analysis Functionalis Applicata Vol. 7 No. 2 97-105.

[18] Maza, M. M., Rioboo R. 1995. Polynomial Gcd Computations over Towers of Algebraic Extensions. Lecture Notes In Computer Science; Vol. 948 Proc. of 11th International Symposium on Applied Algebra, Algebraic Algorithms and Error-Correcting Codes, 365 - 382.

[19] Monico, C., 2002. Computing the Primary Decomposition of Zero-dimensional Ideals. J. Symbolic Computation 34, 451-459.

[20] Steel, A., 2005. Conquering Inseparability: Primary Decomposition and Multivariate Factorization over Algebraic Function Fields of Positive Characteristic. J. Symbolic Comp., 40(3):1053–1075.

[21] Trager, B.M., 1976. Algebraic Factoring and Rational Function Integration. Proc 1976 ACM SYMSAC, New York, 219-226.

[22] Wang, D.M., 1992. A Method for Factoring Multivariate Polynomials over Successive Algebraic Extension Fields. Preprint RISC-Linz. Austria: Johannes Kepler University.

[23] Wang, D.M., Lin, D.D.. 2000. A Method for Factoring Multivariate Polynomials over Successive Algebraic Extension Fields. Mathematics and Mathematics-Mechanization 138-172. Shandong Education Press.

[24] Wang, P.S., 1978. Factoring Multivariate Polynomial over Algebraic Number Fields. Math Comp, 32:1215-1231.

[25] Wu, W.T., 1984. Basic Principles of Mechanical Theorem Proving in Geometries (Part on Elementary Geometries, in Chinese). Beijing: Science Press.

[26] Wu, W.T., 1986. Basic Principles of Mechanical Theorem Proving in Elementary Geometries. J Sys Sci & Math Scis, 4: 207-235; J Automated reasoning, 2:221-252.

[27] Yuan, C.M., 2006. Generalized Trager's Factorization Algorithm over Successive Extension Fields. J Sys Sci & Math Sci. 26(5),533-540.